Fall 2021 Comprehensive Program and Area Review (PAR):

Student Services Areas

Dear Chabot Community,

Welcome to Fall 2021! This is the electronic template for the **Student Services Fall 2021 Comprehensive PAR.** We encourage you to work together with your program or service area to complete these questions collaboratively. One way to facilitate real-time collaboration is to upload these questions into a google doc. Please submit this completed template with attachments to your Dean/Director/Manager by **10/11/21**. Your Dean/Director/Manager will provide you with feedback and then you will enter the information on this template (and attachments) into Qualtrics by **10/25/21**. Importantly, your PAR is NOT complete until you submit your responses on Qualtrics.

Please reach out to the PAR shared governance committee if you have any questions about filling out your Fall 2021 PAR! Co-Chairs: Deonne Kunkel Wu <u>dkunkelwu@chabotcollege.edu</u> and Cynthia Gordon da Cruz <u>cgordondacruz@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

Background Information:			
What organizational unit does your program/area belong to?			
Academic Services Administrative Services x Student Services Office of the President			
Name of your Program, Discipline, Area or Service:			
TRIO ETS			
Name(s) of the person or people who contributed to this review: Robin Galas			
What division does your Program/Area reside in?			
Academic Pathways and Student Success Applied Technology and Business Arts, Media, and Communication Counseling Health, Kinesiology and Athletics Language Arts Science and Mathematics Social Sciences x Special Programs Student Services			

Status of Program Goals from Prior Comprehensive PAR Cycle

- Please refer to the goals/new initiatives you established in the last comprehensive PAR cycle. The last comprehensive PAR was written in Fall 2017 to plan for 2018-19; 19-20; and 20-21. If you need a reminder of your goals, you can access them in the PAR App Program Review Reports. Click on:
 - PAR App Program Review Reports.
 - Then "Select Academic Year" on the top (choose 2018-19)
 - Then "Submissions" (in the left hand toolbar)
 - Then find your area and click "View" in the right most column
 - For **Academic Areas**, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-7, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"
 - For **Service Areas**, find question 8: "Reflecting on your answer to questions 1-7, what new initiatives (no more than 5) do you propose for the next three years?"
 - For **Administrative Areas**, find question 9: "Reflecting on your answers to questions 1-8, what are your top goals (no more than 5) for the next three years?"

You should be able to see what you submitted as goals in the last comprehensive PAR. Please note that the "goals" you established are distinct from the outcomes for your service area (SAOs) or program area (PLOs). In general, SAOs and PLOs tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, whereas the goals for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs and PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is: "Students from diverse backgrounds and with diverse learning needs will receive tutoring that improves their abilities to complete assignments, study, and/or succeed in their courses." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal from Previous Cycle	Status of Goal	Outputs or measures (e.g students served, program change made, etc.) Please explain.
Bringing greater visibility to middle/high school student populations	Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	I would likely use qualitative, subjective data to measure: My involvement with HPN (leadership), CACC (co-lead), relationships with HUSD/SLZ principals and staff has deepened since the last PAR cycle. I regularly have opportunities in which I share TRIO ETS/HPN work both inside/outside campus.
2. Greater marketing	Achieved X_ In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Infographics along with SEL measurements; TRIO ETS/HPN site for families/students; IG account. Would like to produce a quarterly (3x a year) newsletter.
3. Creating connections with other departments	Achieved X In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	Educator Pathway work has increased connections with English, ECD. Would still like to increase connections to other academic depts.

4.	Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	
5.	Achieved In Progress Not achieved but still relevant Not achieved and no longer relevant	

Service Area Outcomes

All service areas are required to have two or more service area outcomes (SAOs). These SAOs should be publicly posted on your service's website. In general, SAOs (as with PLOs) tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service area/program. (As noted above, SAOs are distinct from the goals created for a comprehensive PAR year which are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs and PLOs.)

There is more than one type of SAO.

1. Learning Outcomes

- For services areas that directly serve *students*, SAOs are often stated in terms of *student learning outcomes*.
 - Example: "Students will demonstrate basic knowledge of financial aid principles, rules, and regulations."
- For services areas that directly serve *Chabot employees*, SAOs could be stated in terms of what Chabot employees will learn or gain from the service.
 - Example: Employees will demonstrate basic knowledge of HR policies and procedures for taking time off and accessing benefits.

2. Outcomes that measure the Quality of Key Functions, Services and Processes

- SAOs can also be defined as statements that describe the desired *quality* (timeliness, accuracy, responsiveness, etc.) of *key functions*, *services*, *and processes* within the service area unit.
 - > Example: The Office of XYZ will accurately respond to student inquiries about ABC within seven days.
 - Example: Campus employees will receive mail in a timely and accurate manner.

3. Outcomes that Promote Campus-Wide Functions & Enhance the Achievement of the College Mission

- Outcomes can also articulate what the services are intended to promote (e.g., understanding, knowledge, awareness, appreciation, etc.). The things services promote should be associated with operating procedures or services that promote achievement of the college mission.
 - Example: The Office of Institutional Research will provide the Chabot community with data to promote inclusive excellence in support of equity.

Citations: Howerton, C. (2017). WCC Service Area Outcomes Workshop; <u>Hartnell College Service Area Outcomes Guide</u> (Accessed 2021); <u>Imperial College Service Area Outcomes</u> (Accessed 2021); <u>Mendocino Service Area Outcomes Revisions</u> (Accessed 2021).

- What data* does your service area regularly collect and store in Banner or some other campus storage system?
 - *Examples of data include (but are not limited to): number of students served, number of cases packaged, number of services provided, etc. For example, the Office of Institutional Research collects data on how many

	research and survey requests we process per year. (Understanding the data that currently exists will help to determine what assessments are possible to complete for your area.)
	Student Access; Hello Insight, Salesforce
•	Does your service area have two or more SAOs?
	Yes X No
If r	not, please explain why.
	We do serve students, but most of them are not Chabot students and thus we seem to be out of
	gnment with the SAOs. Also the process/questions have changed from year to year making tracking
<u>thr</u>	ough difficult, especially with a program like TRIO ETS which is not serving "Chabot" students.
	Are your service area's SAOs publicly posted on your website?
•	Are your service area's SAOs publicly posted on your website? Yes
•	
• If r	Yes
• If r	Yes X No

For service areas that directly serve students, often the SAOs will be connected to Chabot's Institutional Learning Outcomes (ILOs). ILOs are the institution-wide outcomes that Chabot is aiming for all students to reach, regardless of which certificate, degree or education goal they are pursuing. Chabot's ILOs include: critical thinking, communication, civic & global engagement, information & technological literacy, and development of the whole person. Descriptions of the ILOs are listed on the Outcomes and Assessment webpage. For service areas that do not directly serve students, think about how your service might provide resources that other Chabot employees might utilize to support ILO development. For example, Institutional Research provides data on the assessment of all the ILOs, thus indirectly supporting the development of all of the ILOs. In the chart below, please: 1) write down at least two SAOs for your area (feel free to write more!); 2) check off which ILOs your SAOs are directly or indirectly connected to, and 3) briefly explain how your SAOs support Chabot's mission, vision or values.

Service Area Outcomes (SAOs)	Which Institutional Learning	Briefly describe how your SAO
	Outcomes are your SAOs	supports the college mission,
	connected to?	vision or values (1-2 sentences).
	*Note: for service areas that do not	
	directly serve students, it is okay to	
	check off ILOs that your service	
	area indirectly supports.	
Provide tutoring to TRIO ETS	<u>x</u> Critical Thinking	We are a community-based, equity
students to improve academic	Communication	initiative, primarily supporting low
success.	Civic & Global Engagement	income and 1 st gen middle/high
	Information &	students to become college-ready.
	Technological Literacy	Academic proficiency/success is a

	x Development of the Whole Person	key component in helping our students to be college-ready.
2. Provide student advising and resource referrals to TRIO ETS students to support student academic success, overall well-being and college readiness.	Critical Thinking Communication Civic & Global Engagement Information & Technological Literacy x Development of the Whole Person	We are a community-based, equity initiative, primarily supporting low income and 1 st gen middle/high students to become college-ready. Providing advice, information and answering questions are in service to assisting our students to become college-ready.
3.	Critical Thinking Communication Civic & Global Engagement Information & Technological Literacy Development of the Whole Person	
4.	Critical Thinking Communication Civic & Global Engagement Information & Technological Literacy Development of the Whole Person	

Service areas are required to assess at least two SAOs per comprehensive PAR cycle. Many service areas listed their service area outcomes in the PAR planning for 2019-20 (Question 1) and many reported back on assessment of their SAOs in the PAR planning for 2020-21 (Question 3). You can access your previous responses in the PAR App Program Review Reports.

Click on:

- PAR App Program Review Reports.
- Then "Select Academic Year" on the top (choose 2019-20 to see what you previously reported as your SAOs or choose 2020-21 to see what you previously reported with regard to assessment)
- Then "Submissions" (in the left hand toolbar)
- Then find your area and click "View" in the right most column
- Go to Question 1 in the 2019-20 report, "Please complete Service Area Outcome forms for your area" and/or Question 3 in the 2020-21 report, "Did you assess any Service Area Outcomes in 18-19? If so, please complete the Service Area Outcome Forms for your area."

•	Were at least two of your SAOs assessed since the previous comprehensive PAR? X Yes No
Ifı	not, then please explain why.

- Please share the results of the most recent SAO *assessments** you have completed since the previous comprehensive PAR in the chart below (e.g., any assessment results from 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20, or 2020-21). (Remember that at least two SAOs must be assessed per PAR cycle.)
 - *By assessment, we mean <u>utilizing data</u> (e.g., # of students served, documented impacts on students, survey responses or other feedback from community members, etc.) that help you understand how effectively you are accomplishing the overall SAO/service mission of your area and/or what modifications to your work would further support reaching your SAOs.

Example: Here is the <u>survey analysis</u> that the Office of Institutional Research did for assessment of SAOs. OIR designed survey questions for users of the service to provide feedback on SAOs. (i.e. measure how effectively we are meeting our SAOs and gathering feedback to improve). For example, one of OIR's SAOs is to "Provide the Chabot community with data for inclusive excellence in support of equity." Therefore, the annual OIR user survey asks Chabot community members who use OIR's services if the data they received assisted them in making decisions that move students toward equity.

Service Area Outcome	Method of Assessment (e.g. survey, data collected by IR, data collected by the area)	Date (academic year) of Assessment	Assessment Results or Lessons Learned
Provide tutoring	Survey Data Collected by IR X Data Collected by your area Other	2020-21 academic year	247 students received tutoring in math and English. It was critical to provide multiple modalities b/c we have 8 school sites, all with different needs.
Provide student advising and resource referrals.	Survey Data Collected by IR X_ Data Collected by your area Other	2020-21 academic year	458 students received advising with a total of 749 contacts. We define a contact as a personalized contact with a student (vs general push of info). Our contacts were primarily proactive in nature (vs being initiated by students.)
3. (optional)	Survey Data Collected by IR Data Collected by your area Other		
4. (optional)	Survey Data Collected by IR Data Collected by your area		

	Other
•	Assessing SAOs has led to improvements in my area. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Strongly agree
In	stitutional Supports, Barriers and Data
dis	flect on your experiences, data, and/or previous program reviews and consider what work in your cipline/service area you are most proud of and what problems remain a major challenge. Then respond to the lowing questions:
•	What institutional-level supports or practices were particularly helpful to your program or area in reaching its PAR Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission? Integrating two grant resources (HPN/ TRIO ETS) enabled us to maximize services for all sites, and especially for two of our most resourced-challenged schools. Also the ability to hire Student Assistants has been enormously helpful in supporting our work.
•	What institutional-level barrier or challenges prevented or hindered your program or area from reaching its PAR Goals, SLOs, PLOs, SAOs, and/or the college mission? We are adept at work-around's, but our technology is often a factor we have to navigate whether it's in seemingly simple elements like timesheets (getting signatures on forms that aren't digital is really cumbersome and our students don't have printers), our email system's stability, and not having webbased shared folders which have required us to use other systems.
•	What institutional-level supports or practices do employees in your program/area believe are particularly helpful to students in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does Chabot do for students that we should keep doing?) Our students access Chabot in two primary ways: as seniors who become Chabot students and through dual/concurrent enrollment. There's been a lot of thought in onboarding and continual
	responsiveness and adapting of SOAR that has been helpful. I think continuing to be responsive to our
	feeder high schools through the Chabot Area Counseling Collaborative is key.
•	What institutional-level barriers or challenges do employees in your program/area believe are a hindrance to students in reaching their educational milestones and/or goals? (i.e., from your vantage point, what does Chabot do that we should stop doing or change to better support our students?) Concurrent enrollment needs more support: it seems behind the times that we don't have a
	truly digital submission process; I understand that we do submissions online but it is not automated in

any way. Behind the scenes is a person doing manual entry. This very much impacts our stude being able to take courses, receiving timely info, etc.	<u>ents</u>
The Office of Institutional Research strives to continually improve representation in our data. Curren	tly, we
have a <u>dashboard on course enrollments and success rates</u> , which can be disaggregated by race/ethnic gender, and part-time/full-time status. What other student group(s) would you like to be able to disag	city,
by in the dashboard? How will this disaggregation promote Chabot's mission? (Please keep in mind vneed to build further disaggregation into the dashboard over time and we will work in the order that it possible to do based on data availability and for which there is the most interest in Chabot campus	we will
community.)	
Dual/concurrent enrollment data; I think it would be great to understand our overall enrol	
in comparison with other similarly sized community colleges; understanding and publishing surates, particularly for our HS partners.	ccess
	_

Staffing Analysis

In this section you will analyze trends in staffing, technology, and facilities.

Staffing	Current # (Fall 2021)	How has staffing for this group changed in the last 3 years (decrease, flat, increase)
Full-time Faculty	NA	Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Part-time Faculty	NA	Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Full-time Classified Professionals	1	Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Part-Time permanent or Hourly Classified Professionals	NA	Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Student Employees	4	X Decreased Stayed roughly the same Increased
Independent Contractors/Professional Experts	4	Decreased X Stayed roughly the same Increased

If you have data on the total number of students served in your area or total number of services provided, then compare changes over the past three years in students served/services provided with changes in staffing in this same time period. What do you notice? We have grant targets which we always meet and truthfully exceed. Our capacity has been leveraged not by full time staffing but by having two funding sources and utilizing multiple types of other services: professional services and student employees to extend our capacity.
Compare the representation of DI populations in your program's/area's staffing (faculty, classified professionals, and administrators) to the representation of DI populations in the students you serve. What do you notice? If there is a gap in representation between students and the Chabot professionals who serve them, how has your program/area addressed that gap? There is only a gap because of the number of people serving TRIO ETS: 2 full time people. Our Student Assistants and HPN round our team to be highly representative of the populations we serve.
 Technology The technology in our program/area is sufficient to support student learning and/or carry out our program/area outcomes and goals. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree X Somewhat agree Strongly agree
If you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)
Facilities • The facilities in our program/area are sufficient to support student learning and/or carry out our program/area outcomes and goals. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree X_ Somewhat agree Strongly agree
If you strongly disagree or somewhat disagree, please explain. (optional)

In general, Faculty members in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities

Professional Development

offered by/at Chabot.

	Strongly disagree
	Somewhat disagree
	Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat agree
	Strongly agree V. Not applicable (no faculty in service)
	X Not applicable (no faculty in service)
•	In general, Classified Professionals in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered by/at Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Somewhat agree Somewhat agree Strongly agree In general, Faculty members in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities
	offered outside of Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree
	Somewhat agree Strongly agree
	X Not applicable (no faculty in service)
•	In general, Classified Professionals in my program/area regularly participate in professional development activities offered outside of Chabot. Strongly disagree Somewhat disagree Neither agree nor disagree Somewhat agree Somewhat agree X Strongly agree
•	How did these professional development experiences contribute to improving your program/area, equity, and/or student learning and achievement? TRIO has trainings that are specific to our field and we find these to be the most relevant and
	helpful.
Fo	juity in Access to Services
•	What barriers, if any, make it difficult for students (or Chabot community members) to access your service? Are there any barriers that could be disproportionately experienced by people from a particular demographic group (e.g., racial/ethnic, age, disability status, parents, etc.) It's getting the word out to all eligible students which is difficult because of the number of sites and potential students and we are in a very saturated market. Most of our sites have multiple collegereadiness programs and services and I can imagine it being difficult for students to recognize what they need to best support their journey.
	need to best support their journey.

	Yes though not on the weekends, but in every other way.
	What changes would be needed to ensure access for students in all three scenarios?
•	Can students access your services: 1) during the day or 2) in the late afternoon/evening/weekend or 3) online?

• Are there any services your area provides to students or the college for which there is a particularly long wait time? If yes, which services? What creative low-cost ideas do you have for how to decrease wait time for access to your services?

No	; students can acces	s services immed	liately and w	ve work ex	pediently to	o set up s	ervices at
the school leve	Ī.		-		=		

Planning

Program/Area Goals: Please reflect on: 1) all the data you have reviewed, 2) the questions you have answered in this comprehensive PAR template, and 3) the various college planning documents (e.g., shorter term planning documents like the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u> (PRAC will post when complete), <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>, and <u>Strategic Plan</u>, all of which lead into the long-range planning document, the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>). Utilize your reflections, along with college planning documents, to develop 1-3 Goals to work on up through the next comprehensive-year PAR cycle. What are the anticipated *outputs** and *outcomes*** of your goals? How do your goals align with the <u>Educational Master Plan (EMP)</u>? Do your goals support the success of any DI Groups? Do your goals support any of the Student Centered Funding Formula (SCFF)*** metrics? *outputs: direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc.

Remember: Whereas SAOs/PLOs tend to be enduring and overarching aims for your service/program, the goals for a comprehensive PAR year are more specific, are expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and are often part of a concrete action plan to reach your overarching and enduring SAOs/PLOs. For example, one of the Learning Connection's SAOs is to "provide effective academic support to students with diverse learning needs." This is an enduring aim that is unlikely to change from PAR cycle to PAR cycle. A PAR goal for the Learning Connection might be to refine the student diversity and antiracist tutoring practices training for incoming tutors. This goal is specific, expected to be completed over the PAR cycle, and supports their SAO (if incoming tutors are trained in antiracist tutoring practices, they will be well-equipped to support students with diverse learning needs).

Goal	Briefly describe the expected outputs (e.g., direct short-term results like # of students served, workshops held, etc) or outcomes (e.g., longer-term results like course success rates or	EMP Alignment	Equity DI Group Alignment	SCFF Metric Alignment
------	--	---------------	------------------------------	-----------------------

^{**}outcomes: longer-term results like course success rates or degrees earned

^{***}The Student Centered Funding Formula is the way all CA CC districts will be funded once the "hold harmless" period of funding expires.

	degrees earned) for your goal.			
In support of next 5 year grant cycle, our goal remains the same: tutoring.	250 students access tutoring	x Equity x Access Pedagogy and Praxis x Academic and Career Success x Community and Partnerships	x African American/Black x Latinx x Native American/Alaska Native x Pacific Islander/Hawaiian Disabled Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	Enrollment/FTESTransfer level English, math or ESL achievementDegree or certificate completionTransferCTE UnitsAttainment of a Living WageSupplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540)x_Other
In support of next 5 year grant cycle, our goal remains the same: Student advising.	High school students receiving at least 3 meaningful points of contact.	x_ Equity _x_ Access Pedagogy and Praxis _x_ Academic and Career Success _x_ Community and Partnerships	x African American/Black x Latinx x Native American/Alaska Native x Pacific Islander/Hawaiian Disabled Foster Youth LGBT DI Gender Other	Enrollment/FTESTransfer level English, math or ESL achievementDegree or certificate completionTransferCTE Units Attainment of a Living WageSupplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540)x_Other
3.		Equity Access Pedagogy and Praxis Academic and Career Success Community and Partnerships	African American/BlackLatinxNative American/Alaska NativePacific Islander/HawaiianDisabledFoster YouthLGBTDI GenderOther	Enrollment/FTES Transfer level English, math or ESL achievement Degree or certificate completion Transfer CTE Units Attainment of a Living Wage Supplemental Metric (Financial aid or AB 540) Other

Resource Requests

Contracts and Services Requests: Contracts and Services include things like equipment maintenance contracts, food vendors, external consultants or speakers. Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Job Description/Tas ks	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Length of Contract in Months (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimate d Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1	N/A		New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Vendor Name	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Quantity (1, 2, 10, 12, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimate d Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat					Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Facilities Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

^{*}Note: If your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project so that committees can see the total cost of the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of

projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the start to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all requests have been entered)	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put 'individual request'	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Item Description	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2-3 sentences).	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Item 1			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3			New Updated Repeat			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Human Resource Requests (e.g., Faculty, Classified, Administrative, Student Workers, etc.)

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

Rai	nk	Project Name	New,	Classification	Positio	Avg.	Justification	Year(s)	Estimated
(1,1)	2, 3,	Use the same	Updated, or		n Title	hours per	BRIEFLY justify	Needed	Cost Per
etc.	after	project name	Repeat			week	how this spending		Year
all		for all requests	Request			(5, 20,	relates to the EMP,		(Total \$)
requ	quests	related to a				40, etc.)	College's Annual		
hav	ve	large project or					Planning Priorities		
							and/or President's		

	been	put 'individual				Planning Initiatives		
Position 1	entered)	request'	New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other		(2-3 sentences).	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Position 2			New Updated Repeat	Admin FTClassified FTClassified HourlyClassified PTFaculty FTFaculty PTFaculty F-hourFaculty ReassignStudent HourlyOther			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Position 3			New Updated Repeat	Admin FT Classified FT Classified Hourly Classified PT Faculty FT Faculty PT Faculty F-hour Faculty Reassign Student Hourly Other			Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

- The Faculty Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Faculty Prioritization Form</u> if you are requesting a full-time faculty position. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.
- The Classified Prioritization Committee requires a completed <u>Classified Professional Prioritization Form</u>. There will be a spot on Qualtrics to upload this completed form. In the meantime, please just submit the completed form to your dean/manager when you turn in this template on 10/11/21.

Professional Development, Travel, and Conferences

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

*Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

	Rank (1, 2, 3, etc. after all request s have been entered	Project Name Use the same project name for all requests related to a large project or put	New, Updated, or Repeat Request	Brief Description (1-2 sentences)	What Type of PD Request?	Justification BRIEFLY justify how this spending relates to the EMP, College's Annual Planning Priorities and/or President's Planning Initiatives (2- 3 sentences).	Number of Attendees (1, 5, 10, etc.)	Year(s) Needed	Estimated Cost Per Year (Total \$)
Request 1		'individual request'	New Updated Repeat		In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other	S semences).		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Request 2		New Updated Repeat	In-person conference with travel Online conference/webinar On-Campus Training On-Campus Speaker Other		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Request 3		New Updated Repeat	In-person conference with travelOnline conference/webinarOn-Campus TrainingOn-Campus SpeakerOther		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Supplies Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

^{*}Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

Rank	Project Name	New,	Brief Item	Justification	Quantity	Year(s)	Estimated
(1, 2, 3, etc.	Use the same project	Updated, or	Description	BRIEFLY justify how	(1, 2, 10,	Needed	Cost Per
after all	name for all requests	Repeat	(1-2 sentences)	this spending relates to	12, etc.)		Year
requests	related to a large project	Request		the EMP, College's			(Total \$)
have been	or put 'individual	_		Annual Planning			
entered)	request'			Priorities and/or			
				President's Planning			

			Initiatives (2-3 sentences).			
Item 1		New Updated Repeat		- - -	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2		New Updated Repeat		 - - -	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3		New Updated Repeat		- - -	Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Technology Requests

Criterion for distributing funding vary by committee (check out the <u>Resource Allocation Rubrics</u> available on PAR's website), but are consistently based on the <u>Educational Master Plan</u>, the <u>College's Planning Priorities</u>, and the <u>President's College Planning Initiatives</u>.

Rank	Project Name	New,	Was the	Brief Item	Justification	Quantity	Year(s)	Estimated
(1, 2, 3,	Use the same	Updated, or	feasibility of	Description	BRIEFLY justify how	(1, 2, 10,	Needed	Cost Per
etc. after	project name for all	Repeat	the request	(1-2	this spending relates to	12, etc)		Year
all	requests related to a	Request	discussed with	sentences)	the EMP, College's			(Total \$)
requests	large project or put	_	IT?		Annual Planning			
have been	'individual request'				Priorities and/or			
entered)					President's Planning			
ŕ					Initiatives (2-3			
					sentences).			

^{*}Note: In the project name column, if your request is part of a larger project, please name the project and use the same project name for all requests related to the project. We don't currently have a good system for different shared governance committees to come together and see the total cost of projects across resource requests that go to different committees. Adding this column to Program and Area Review is the *start* to figuring out a good process for this.

Item 1		New Updated Repeat	Yes No		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 2		New Updated Repeat	Yes No		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	
Item 3		New Updated Repeat	Yes No		Annual 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25	

Categorical Funding Applications:

The **Student Access Success and Equity (SASE) committee** "develops, leads, and supports campus initiatives that strengthen student access, success, and equity." SASE "provides a platform for collaboration and communication across the college that will result in the coordination of basic skills, student access, success, and equity efforts campus-wide." If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out their application for funding here:

https://forms.gle/ZXC65S6NscLMCz8G7

Please note that SASE may request additional information after you submit the application. Please contact the SASE committee with any questions: Administrative Tri-Chair Gabe Chaparro gchaparro@chabotcollege.edu.

Career Education funds are available for projects that: 1) support a program with a CTE TOP code or 2) continue a current project in SWP or 3) are eligible for Perkins Grants, or 4) meet other criteria such as core indicators and labor market demand. If you believe that any of your funding requests meet these requirements, then please fill out the CE application for funding here:

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSelkdNpRXzCbxnmVGHO7t3gC2K3eZfs nXrOaLloFxlT1xbqw/viewform?usp=sf link

- Please submit one form per project/TOP code, keeping in mind that funding for multiple projects per area is limited.
- If you are not sure whether you have a program that qualifies for CE funding, please reach out to Christina Read <u>cread@chabotcollege.edu</u>.

If you have any other questions about the CE funding process, please contact the Career Education Committee Tri-Chairs: faculty chair Connie Telles ctelles@chabotcollege.edu, admin chair Christina Read ctelles@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley kstanley@chabotcollege.edu, or classified professional chair Kathleen Stanley